



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,668	12/18/2001	Stephen Griffin	1001.1535101	6574
28075 7590 06/04/2007 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			EXAMINER FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
			ART UNIT 3736	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/04/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

H

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/025,668	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GRIFFIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jonathan ML Foreman	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 and 20-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 and 20-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 36, 37, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0183654 to Zhou.

In regard to claims 1, 36, 37, 39 and 40, Zhou discloses an elongate core wire (106) comprising a metal and having an outer surface and a distal end; and a polymer jacket (128) comprising a shape memory polymer attached to and surrounding a portion of the core wire such that a substantial portion of the jacket is in contact with the outer surface of the core wire a portion of the core wire [0021], the polymer jacket being more stiff than the portion of the core wire which it surrounds [0028][0029]; wherein the shape memory polymer is one from a subset of polymers which are characterized by their responsiveness to heating at or above a glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer in order to independently transform the shape memory polymer between a first and second shape. The portion of the core wire surrounded by the polymer jacket includes a tapered portion (130).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3736

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2002/0183654 to Zhou in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,485,458 to

Takahashi.

In reference to claims 5 – 16, Zhou discloses a shape memory polymer surrounding a portion of the core wire being polynorborene, polyurethane and similar materials (Col. 7, lines 46 – 50), but fails to disclose the polymer being polcaprolactone, polymethylmethacrylate, PLLA, PLLA OGA, PL/D LA, PMMA, polyethylene, polyisoprene, styrene-butadiene or photocrosslinkable polymer. However, Takahashi discloses a shape memory polymer surrounding a core wire wherein the polymer consists of poluorbornen, styrene-butadiene, polyisoprene, polyester, polyolefin, acrylic and styrene-acrylic (Col. 5, lines 56 – 67). Takahashi teaches that other shape-memory materials can be used in addition to those disclosed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use any shape memory polymer as taught by Takahashi in the device as disclosed by Zhou in that Takahashi teaches that shape memory polymers are interchangeable. Additionally, the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). In the present case, replacing the shape memory polymer as disclosed by Zhou with any other shape memory polymer is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

5. Claims 1 - 5, 20 – 24 and 36 – 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,452,726 to Burmeister et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,024,764 to

Schroeppel.

Art Unit: 3736

In regards to claims 1 - 5, 20 - 24 and 36 - 45, Burmeister et al. discloses an elongate core wire comprising stainless steel or a nickel titanium alloy (Col. 2, lines 38 - 41) and having a tapered portion (24, 30); and a polymer jacket (42; Col. 3, line 65 - Col. 4, line 9) attached to and surrounding a portion of the core wire such that a substantial portion of the jacket is in contact with the outer surface of the core wire a portion of the core wire including the tapered portion.

Burmeister et al. discloses that this jacket can be formed of any polymer (Col. 4, lines 7 - 9).

However, Burmeister et al. fails to disclose the polymer jacket being a shape memory polymer more stiff than the portion of the core wire which it surrounds; wherein the shape memory polymer is one from a subset of polymers which are characterized by their responsiveness to heating at or above a glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer in order to independently transform the shape memory polymer between a first and second shape. Schroepfel discloses a guiding element for positioning within a patients body (Col. 5, lines 12 - 15) including a polymer jacket being a shape memory polymer more stiff than the portion of the core wire which it surrounds (Col. 5, lines 41 - 57); wherein the shape memory polymer is one from a subset of polymers which are characterized by their responsiveness to heating at or above a glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer in order to independently transform the shape memory polymer between a first and second shape. Schroepfel discloses that any number of different types of tubular devices can include such a jacket (Col. 3, lines 53 - 57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the polymer jacket as disclosed by Burmeister et al. to include a shape memory polymer as taught by Schroepfel so the device can be shaped by a surgeon into a shape and subsequently reshaped if desired to allow for introduction into the patient's anatomy (Col. 5, lines 50 - 60).

Art Unit: 3736

6. Claims 6 – 16 and 25 - 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,452,726 to Burmeister et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,024,764 to Schroepfel as applied to claims 1 and 20 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,485,458 to Takahashi.

In reference to claims 6 – 16 and 25 - 35, Burmeister et al. in view of Schroepfel disclose a shape memory polymer surrounding a portion of the core wire being polynorborene, polyurethane and similar materials (Col. 7, lines 46 – 50), but fails to disclose the polymer being polcaprolactone, polymethylmethacrylate, PLLA, PLLA OGA, PL/D LA, PMMA, polyethylene, polyisoprene, styrene-butadiene or photocrosslinkable polymer. However, Takahashi discloses a shape memory polymer surrounding a core wire wherein the polymer consists of poluorbornen, styrene-butadiene, polyisoprene, polyester, polyolefin, acrylic and styrene-acrylic (Col. 5, lines 56 – 67). Takahashi teaches that other shape-memory materials can be used in addition to those disclosed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use any shape memory polymer as taught by Takahashi in the device as disclosed by Burmeister et al. in view of Schroepfel in that Takahashi teaches that shape memory polymers are interchangeable. Additionally, the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). In the present case, replacing the shape memory polymer as disclosed by Burmeister et al. in view of Schroepfel with any other shape memory polymer is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Zhou fails to disclose a polymer jacket attached to and surrounding a portion of the core wire such that a substantial portion of the polymer jacket is in contact with the core wire.

Art Unit: 3736

However, the Examiner disagrees. Substantial is a broad term. As such, the Examiner considers a substantial portion of the polymer jacket being in contact with the core in that the jacket is connected to the core at the proximal end of the jacket and the core contacts the jacket when the core bends (See Figures 4 and 5). In regard to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burmeister et al. in view of Schroepfel, the Examiner maintains that a proper *prima facie* case of obviousness has been established. Burmeister et al. discloses that this jacket can be formed of any polymer (Col. 4, lines 7 – 9). Schroepfel discloses a guiding element for positioning within a patient's body (Col. 5, lines 12 – 15) including a polymer jacket being a shape memory polymer more stiff than the portion of the core wire which it surrounds (Col. 5, lines 41 – 57); wherein the shape memory polymer is one from a subset of polymers which are characterized by their responsiveness to heating at or above a glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer in order to independently transform the shape memory polymer between a first and second shape. Schroepfel discloses that any number of different types of tubular devices can include such a jacket (Col. 3, lines 53 – 57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the polymer jacket as disclosed by Burmeister et al. to include a shape memory polymer as taught by Schroepfel so the device can be shaped by a surgeon into a shape and subsequently reshaped if desired to allow for introduction into the patient's anatomy (Col. 5, lines 50 – 60). The use of such a polymer jacket would allow for almost complete recovery to the original straight shape during and after reshaping (Col. 5, lines 58 – 60). It is noted that the rejection replaced the polymer jacket as disclosed by Burmeister et al. with a shape memory polymer jacket as taught by Schroepfel.

*Conclusion*

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

  
JMLF  
MARK WENZBURG  
SUIV. EXAMINER  
TECHNICAL CENTER 3700